Partnership Arrangement Survey &

Independent Higher Education (IHE) has partnered with Pinsent Masons to explore the shape of partnerships in the UK in a broad project that will look at the experiences of the teaching partner, awarding partner and students to lift the bonnet and see how it all works. The information you provide will be held by Pinsent Masons and shared with IHE for analysis. Responses will be anonymised, and we will use the information to inform a report for publication in 2024. No individual, provider or partnership will be identifiable in the published report. We may also share the fully anonymised data with selected trusted partners for non-commercial purposes.

We recommend this is completed by the individual in your organisation most familiar with contractual partnership arrangements. Estimated completion time is 10 minutes.

If you are unsure about any of the questions and would like some assistance, please email angela@ihe.ac.uk. Alternatively, if you would like your data removed after completing the survey, please contact Pinsent Masons at ruth.gingell@pinsentmasons.com

This survey will close on Friday 16 February 2024.

- * Required
- 1. Please state your provider name*

*this will ensure we can identify any duplicate responses per provider. Responses will be anonymised. *

2.	Are	you regulated by any of these bodies?
		Office for Students (OfS)
		Scottish Funding Council (SFC)
		Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW)
		Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland
		None of the above
3.	Hov	v many academic partnership* arrangements do you have in place?
3.	*an prov	academic partnership* arrangements do you have in place? academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates ely as an accrediting organisation.
3.	*an prov awa pure	academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates
3.	*an prov awa pure	academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates ely as an accrediting organisation.
3.	*an prov awa pure	academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates ely as an accrediting organisation. Zero
3.	*an prov awa pure	academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates ely as an accrediting organisation. Zero We only have international partnerships with overseas provision (TNE)
3.	*an prov awa pure	academic partnership for this survey is a partnership between a teaching vider and a provider with Degree Awarding Powers or an FE College with rding or funding powers. It is not a relationship with a body who operates ely as an accrediting organisation. Zero We only have international partnerships with overseas provision (TNE)

N.B. If you answer 'Zero' or 'TNE only' to Question 3, please skip ahead to Question 33, which asks about any previous partnerships you may have had.

	What types of UK based partnerships do y 'franchise' and 'subcontract' are to be inte of this survey. Select all that apply.) *				
	Validation				
	Subcontract/Franchise				
	Joint Venture				
	Other				
5.	During 2021/22, how many students were	. *			
		< 250	251 - 500	501 – 1,000	1,001 – 2
	A: Learning on a qualification awarded by you, but registered and taught by a partner provider	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	B: Learning on a qualification awarded by you, registered with you, but were being taught by a partner provider	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	C: Learning as part of a joint venture	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	D: Learning as part of another type of partnership arrangement	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
6.	If you selected option D above, please exp	olain:			

	ect the options which best describe the courses you award/deliver with your tners currently? (Multiple choice. Select all that apply) *
	Pathway courses preparing students for degree level study
	Specialist subject provision (at any level of study)
	Short courses
	Flexible provision (at any level of study)
	Accelerated Degree Provision
	Certificates or Diplomas of Higher Education or equivalent (FHEQ 4/5; SCQF 7/8)
	Foundation Degrees
	Undergraduate Degrees (FHEQ 6; SCQF 9/10)
	Masters Degrees (FHEQ 7; SCQF 11)
	Research Degrees (FHEQ 8; SCQF 12)
	Online course (at any level of study)
	Other
8. Ho	w long have you been delivering education through a partnership model? *
\bigcirc	Less than 5 years
\bigcirc	5 - 10 years
\bigcirc	More than 10 years

9.		at are your top 3 strategic aims for domestic partnership delivery? (select up nree) *
	Pleas	e select at most 3 options.
		Widening participation
		Supporting local provision
		Creating new apprenticeships
		Creating new level 4/5 provision
		Creating pathways to undergraduate or postgraduate programmes
		Creating degree top-up programmes
		To innovate/create something new with a partner
		To deliver a new subject area
		To deliver international pathway programmes with partners in the UK
		Increase revenue from student fees
		Other
10.		nt is the process in your institution for prospective partners looking to ner with you? (Multiple choice. Select all that apply) *
		We have a central point for partners to approach us
		Individual departments or faculties are the first point of contact
		We have an open call for partners which specifies what we are looking for
		We publish a process for partners to follow if they would like a partnership
		Other

11.		en creating a new partnership, what are your expectations for how long it uld take to deliver (from initial approach to enrolling the first students)? *
	\bigcirc	Less than a year
	\bigcirc	1-2 years
	\bigcirc	Up to 3 years
	\bigcirc	Other
12.		at factors do you consider about a prospective partner in the initial stages of ning a new partnership? (Multiple choice. Select all that apply) *
		OfS/funding council registration
		Existing data on continuation, completion, progression
		Track record of delivering with partner(s)
		Track record of delivering level of provision (UG/PG)
		Number of existing partners
		Partner motivations for new partnership
		Overall mission/vision
		Ownership/governance structure
		Planned or current student demographic
		Facilities/capacity to deliver proposed partner courses
		Other

13.	3. Do you strategically restrict your partnerships in any way? (Multiple choice. Select all that apply) *	
		No – we do not use restrictions
		Yes - we do not allow our partners to have other partners
		Yes - partners must obtain our consent to contract with a new partner
		Yes - we only partner with one provider in a specific subject/course
		Yes - we only create partnerships in the same region as us
		Yes - we do not create partnerships in the same region as us
		Other
14.	Wha	at is your standard length of contract? *
	\bigcirc	We do not have a standard length of contract
	\bigcirc	Less than 3 years
	\bigcirc	3-5 years
	\bigcirc	6 years or more
	\bigcirc	Other

		nber clauses? (Select the response that best reflects your contracts across r partners)
	\bigcirc	We never include minimum or maximum student numbers in our contracts
	\bigcirc	We do not have a standard model for student number clauses in our contracts, each partner is different
	\bigcirc	It is standard to set minimum student numbers for our partners
	\bigcirc	It is standard to set maximum student numbers for our partner
16.	How	v frequently do you review your partnership strategy? *
	\bigcirc	Annually
	\bigcirc	Every 2 or 3 years
	\bigcirc	Every 4 or 5 years
	\bigcirc	Every 6+ years
	\bigcirc	We do not review our partnerships at a strategic level
		Other
17.	Ove	r the past three years, have the fees you charge your partners changed? *
	\bigcirc	Yes - fees have gone up
	\bigcirc	Yes - fees have gone down
	\bigcirc	No - fees have stayed the same
	\bigcirc	We have not had a standard approach for fee changes across our partners

15. Is it standard for your contracts to include minimum or maximum student

18.		king across your partnerships how do you discharge your responsibility for lemic quality? (Multiple choice. Select all that apply) *
		Attendance at exam board
		Ownership of external examiner processes
		Regular validation exercises
		Joint or role on partner's academic management body/governance
		Audit rights/spot checks
		Named partnership manager
		Invitations to learning & teaching conferences, or similar
		Attendance at partner's academic committees
		Sign off on RPL in admissions
		Interviews with students
		Approving new staff
		We apply a developmental approach, based on the changing maturity of the partnership
		Unsure
		Other
19.	capa Deg	at additional support structures do you offer your partners to develop their acity to deliver high quality provision? E.g. partnership forums/conferences, ree Awarding Powers application support, improving quality, admissions port marketing & recruitment, etc. *

20.	-	ou include a data-sharing arrangement as a standard clause in your nership contracts? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	Unsure
21.		at is your preferred strategy for managing complaints and appeals in nerships? *
	\bigcirc	We prefer our partners to be responsible for investigating all complaints and appeals about any aspect of provision, with a final review or appeal stage to us
	\bigcirc	We prefer to carry out an investigation of all complaints and appeals about any aspect of provision entirely under our own complaints and appeals processes
	\bigcirc	We prefer our partners to carry out the investigation of complaints and appeals about non-academic matters, but to carry out the investigation of complaints about academic matters under our own complaints and appeals processes
	\bigcirc	We prefer our partners to be responsible for investigating all complaints and appeals about any aspect of provision, with a final review or appeal stage to us ONLY for academic matters. Non-academic matters are closed by our partner
	\bigcirc	Every partnership is different and we don't have a preferential model
	\bigcirc	We work with partners to develop an integrated process
	\bigcirc	Processes differ between validated and franchised (sub-contracted) partners
	\bigcirc	Unsure
		Other

22.	-	ou require partners to provide a formal regular report about complaints and eals *
	\bigcirc	Yes - annually
	\bigcirc	Yes - per case
	\bigcirc	Yes - on a frequent cycle, but not annually
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	Unsure
23.	_	u have previous partnerships which have ended, what were the reasons for (Optional. Select all that apply)
		Partner gained their own Degree Awarding Powers
		Institutional decisions of the partner were at odds with your vision and mission
		Changes in senior leadership at the teaching partner
		Changes in strategic direction within your institution
		Concerns in quality of teaching delivery
		Loss of regulatory registration (OfS/SFC/HEFCW/Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland)
		Competition concerns
		Other
		N/A

24.	The	Future - Are you looking for new partners for delivery in the UK? *
		No- we are not looking for new partnerships at this time
		No - we are winding down our current partnerships
		Yes - we are open to new approaches
		Yes – we are actively seeking new partners
25.	-	ou answered 'yes' above, select the options which best describe the courses are seeking or open to awarding in the future.
		Pathway courses preparing students for degree level study
		Specialist subject provision (at any level of study)
		Short courses
		Flexible provision (at any level of study)
		Accelerated Degree Provision
		Higher Technical Qualifications
		Certificates or Diplomas of Higher Education or equivalent (FHEQ 4/5; SCQF 7/8)
		Foundation Degrees
		Undergraduate Degrees (FHEQ 6; SCQF 9/10)
		Masters Degrees (FHEQ 7; SCQF 11)
		Research Degrees (FHEQ 8; SCQF 12)
		Online course (at any level of study)
		Other

26.	Do you plan to strategically reduce the number of partners within the next 5 years? *
	Yes
	○ No
	Unsure
27	Haratha 'arahana atat'a a af tha H'aban Edinat'a a and Danasah Ast (2017) tha
27.	Has the implementation of the Higher Education and Research Act (2017), the creation of the Office for Students and the implementation of the new OfS B conditions changed the way you work with partners? If yes, please explain what you have changed. *
20	NAVIn et selve et en en ford en en de seine en de seuline et de la LUZ II'e han Edit en i'e 2 *
28.	What value do you feel academic partnerships add to UK Higher Education? *
29.	Do you plan to create new partnerships, expand existing partnerships or change the way you manage risk in partnerships to take advantage of more flexible course funding under the Lifelong Learning Entitlement (LLE)? *

30.		ald you be interested in joining a directory (updated regularly) of degree rding bodies who are open to new partnerships? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	Unsure
31.		king across your partnerships, how would you describe your partners? ect all that apply) *
		Collaborators
		Enablers
		Competitors
		Developers
		Innovators
		Critical friends
		Other
32.	ls th	ere anything more you would like to share about academic partnerships?

N.B. If you have answered Questions 4 through 32, you will not be asked to answer Questions 33 through 39.

33.	-	u have previous partnerships which have ended, what were the reasons for (Optional. Select all that apply)
	\bigcirc	Partner gained their own Degree Awarding Powers
	\bigcirc	Institutional decisions of the partner were at odds with your vision and mission
	\bigcirc	Changes in senior leadership at the teaching partner
	\bigcirc	Changes in strategic direction within your institution
	\bigcirc	Concerns in quality of teaching delivery/loss of regulatory registration (OfS/SFC/HEFCW /Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland)
	\bigcirc	Competition concerns
	\bigcirc	N/A
	\bigcirc	Other
34.	Are	you looking for new partners for delivery in the UK? *
	\bigcirc	No- we are not looking for new partnerships at this time
	\bigcirc	No - we are winding down our current partnerships
	\bigcirc	Yes - we are open to new approaches
	\bigcirc	Yes – we are actively seeking new partners
35.	If yo	u answered 'no' above, what has motivated your decision?

C	Has the implementation of the Higher Education and Research Act (2017), the creation of the Office for Students and the implementation of the new OfS B conditions influenced your decision above? Why? *
37. W	What value do you feel academic partnerships add to UK Higher Education? *
	Would you be interested in joining a directory (updated regularly) of degree awarding bodies who are open to new partnerships? *
(Yes
(○ No
(Unsure
39. Is	s there anything more you would like to share about academic partnerships?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.